23

24

25

26

27

28

## FILED

2009 AUG -5 PM 12: 51

YUMA JUSTICE COURT FIRST PRECINCT

William P. Katz Arizona State Bar No. 026286 DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY 250 W. SECOND STREET, STE. G, YUMA, AZ 85364 (928) 817-4300 William.katz@co.yuma.az.us

> IN THE JUSTICE COURT -FIRST PRECINCT (THIRD PRECINCT) IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YUMA, STATE OF ARIZONA

STATE OF ARIZONA,

vs.

No. J1403MS2009-00009

Plaintiff,

Motion For Change of Judge for Cause Pursuant to Rule 10.1

Defendant.

The State of Arizona, through the Office of the Yuma County Attorney, by the undersigned deputy, hereby moves and notices this Court of its request for a Change of Judge for Cause pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. Pro. 10.1. The judge to be changed for cause is the Honorable Yolonda Torok. The State hereby asserts a bias challenge to the Honorable Yolanda Torok based upon various legal violations and examples of bias that developed at a hearing held on August 5, 2009, which respectfully reflect Judge Torok's inability to exercise independent judicial discretion in the determination of this case. The Honorable Judge Cooper was noticed pursuant to Rule 10.2, and in form subsequently transferred the case to Judge Torok on August 5, 2009 roughly fifteen or twenty minutes prior to the aforementioned hearing. However, the appearance of impropriety and an inability of the part of Judge Torok to exercise independent judicial discretion has developed due to Judge Cooper's

Fa<u>cts</u>

continued participation in the proceedings while seated in the front row of the gallery. This motion is pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. Pro. 10.1 and 10.6, and is made on a good-faith basis not intended to delay the present proceedings. The State respectfully requests a stay in the proceedings and a formal hearing to resolve this <u>Rule 10.1</u> motion. This motion is supported by the attached memorandum of authorities.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 5th day of August, 2009.

JON R. SMITH
YUMA COUNTY ATTORNEY

Walfiam P. Katz DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY

·

Judge Torok works under the direct supervision and control of Judge Cooper. The State filed a Rule 10.2 notice of change of judge on July 2, 2009. The State renewed its objection to Judge Cooper's exercise of substantive jurisdiction over this case on July 30, 2009. Judge Cooper failed to issue an order reassigning this case, and until August 5, 2009 approximately thirty minutes before the hearing on the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss exercised substantive jurisdiction over this case by maintaining the aforementioned hearing date, as well as a trial date. Finally, Judge Cooper reassigned this matter the day of the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss approximately thirty minutes before the hearing. Judge Cooper's participation did not terminate in this case however. While Judge Torok was on the bench listening to the pre-hearing litigation, Judge Cooper entered the courtroom and assumed a seat in the front-row of the gallery. Despite being noticed

1 | pursuant to Rule 10.2, Judge Cooper while seated in the gallery, made remarks and participated in the pre-hearing litigation which was being presided over by Judge Torok. Judge Torok proclaimed she had not reviewed any of the briefs submitted by the litigants, nor the applicable law because she was assigned to this case approximately thirty minutes prior to the litigation. She thereby granted a continuance requested by the State and declined to hear the merits of the Defendant's motion on August 5, 2009. Despite Judge Torok's admitting to being unprepared at around 11AM, Judge Cooper participated in the resetting of the hearing on Defendant's motion and informed the litigants and the Court that the hearing would not be rescheduled later in the month, but rather on August 5, 2009 1PM (That same day). Judge Cooper directed this rescheduling from the back of the courtroom on the record before the litigants and Judge Torok. .

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

## Analysis

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

The State is concerned that despite being noticed pursuant to Rule 10.2, Judge Cooper continues to participate in the proceedings in this case raising an appearance of impropriety and an inability on the part of Judge Torok to exercise independent judicial discretion. The State is concerned about the bias inherent in such an appearance. The State should be afforded the same right and opportunity as the Defendant to a fair and impartial judge. State v. Mincey, 141 Ariz. 425 (1984); State v. Neil, 102 Ariz 110 (1967).

The State respectfully requests a stay in the proceedings and a formal hearing

22 23

Conclusion

to resolve this Rule 10.1 motion.

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully Salmithed,

Millier & Res.

6/5/09

ORIGINAL FILED, and Copies Hand Delivered if Possible/Mailed/Faxed August 5, 2009 TO:

JUSTICE COURT FIRST PRECINCT &

7 M. VICTOR

4.2

1	JON R. SMITH YUMA COUNTY ATTORNEY
2	William P. Katz Arizona State Bar No. 026286
3	DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY 250 W. SECOND STREET, STE. G, YUMA, AZ 85364
4	(928) 817-4300 William.katz@co.yuma.az.us
5	IN THE JUSTICE COURT -FIRST PRECINCT (Third Precinct)
6	IN THE JUSTICE COOK! TITLE TO
. 7	IN AND FOR THE COOKER OF THE
8	STATE OF ARIZONA, No. J1403MS2009-00009
9	Plaintiff,
10	VS. STEVEN L. ANDERSON,
11	Defendant.
12	Comes Now Affiant to Swear and attest to the following:
13	D. Water III
14 15	1) I am William P. Ratz II; 2) I am a deputy county attorney representing the State of Arizona in
15	this litigation;
17	3) I have prepared, reviewed, read, and signed the attached pleading,
18	and I believe each allegation of fact and law is fair, accurate and
19	complete.
20	AFFIANT FURTHER SAYETH NAUGHT
21	Dated this 5 <sup>th</sup> Day of August, 2009
22	Respectfully Submitted,
23	
24	
25	Poputy County Attorney
26	5
2	7
2	В

1	Subscribed and Sworn to me this 5th of August, 2009
2	My Commission Expires: July 17,3013  Motary Public
3	
4	
5	MARIA F. MARTINEZ
6	Notary Public - Arizona Yuma County
7	My Commission Expires July 17, 2013
8	ORIGINAL FILED, and Copies Hand Delivered August 5, 2009 TO:
9	Hon. Y. Torok; Hon. D. Cooper; JUSTICE COURT FIRST PRECINCT & M. VICTOR
10	BY:
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	
28	2
20	